Science Fair Rubric				
CRITERIA	4	3	2	1
Knowledge of Scientific Method	• Student can explain all parts of science project and justify conclusion with clarity.	<ul> <li>Student can explain several parts of science project and justify conclusion.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student can explain some parts of science project with only vague understanding of conclusion.</li> </ul>	• Student cannot explain science project and expresses no understanding of its conclusion.
Data	<ul> <li>Data was collected several times and provides complete, accurate, and relevant information based firmly on careful research.</li> <li>The data is well presented and clearly explained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Data was collected a few times and provides partially complete, accurate, and relevant information based careful research</li> <li>The data is well presented and explained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Data was collected more than one time but some may be incorrect or irrelevant.</li> <li>Some data is presented but not clearly explained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Data was collected only once and information is inaccurate and irrelevant.</li> <li>The data is poorly presented and poorly explained.</li> </ul>
Scientific Thought	<ul> <li>Clearly followed the scientific method in order to perform the experiment.</li> <li>The problem and hypothesis indicate scientific thinking.</li> <li>All variables are identified and controlled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Attempted to follow the scientific method.</li> <li>The problem and hypothesis indicate some scientific thinking.</li> <li>Most variables are identified and controlled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minimal use of scientific method.</li> <li>The problem and hypothesis lack scientific thinking.</li> <li>Some variables are identified and controlled.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Did not follow the scientific method.</li> <li>The problem and hypothesis have a predictable outcome.</li> <li>Most variables are not identified.</li> </ul>
Written Presentation /Lab Report	<ul> <li>Displays a high level of understanding of the scientific topic/concept within experiment.</li> <li>Scientific projections from the experiment can be made.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Displays a moderate level of subject knowledge from research and the process of completing the experiment.</li> <li>Scientific projections from the experiment can be made.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Displays a fair level of subject knowledge from research and the process of completing the experiment.</li> <li>Scientific projections from the experiment can be made.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Displays a low level of subject knowledge from research and the process of completing the experiment.</li> <li>No scientific projections from the experiment can be made.</li> </ul>
Oral Presentation	<ul> <li>Speaking voice is strong, clear, and easily understood.</li> <li>Speaker conveys confidence in talking about experiment.</li> <li>Excellent eye contact with audience.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Speaking voice is easily understood.</li> <li>Speaker is able to convey information about experiment.</li> <li>Good eye contact with audience.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Speech is halting and hard to understand.</li> <li>Speaker appears unsure of material presented.</li> <li>Limited or sporadic eye contact with audience.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student speaks unclearly and/or reads directly off board.</li> <li>Speaker does not make eye contact with audience.</li> </ul>
Exhibit/ Display	<ul> <li>Board is neat, attractive and creative.</li> <li>Graphs and charts are properly labeled.</li> <li>Spelling and grammar are correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Board is neat and attractive.</li> <li>Graphs and charts are mostly labeled.</li> <li>Spelling and grammar are mostly correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Board is neat.</li> <li>Graphs and charts have been attempted.</li> <li>Spelling and grammar are somewhat correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Board is poorly done with no evidence of effort.</li> <li>No scientific projections made.</li> <li>Results written directly on board</li> <li>Graphs and charts missing.</li> </ul>