SSMS Science Fair Project Evaluation Rubric Project Type:			Project Title: Student:
EXPERIMENT	INNOVATION	STUDY	1
Project Num	ber:		-

Grade _____

Performance		Foir		Fuert
Criteria	Low	Fair	Good	Excellent
Criteria Scientific Thought (25%)	EXPERIMENT • Duplication of a known experiment to confirm a hypothesis; totally predictable. INNOVATION • Build a model or device to duplicates existing technology. STUDY • Existing published material is presented, without analysis.	EXPERIMENT • Modification of the question hypothesis, variables, and procedures of a known experiment. INNOVATION • Improve or demonstrate new applications for existing technologies, and justify them. <u>STUDY</u> • Existing published material is presented with modest analysis and/or • A simple study giving limited data with no meaningful results	EXPERIMENT • Elaboration of an original experiment with own question and hypothesis. • Some variables are identified and controlled. • Data presented in simple graph or table. <u>INNOVATION</u> • Design and build innovative technology. Benefits to humans should be evident. <u>STUDY</u> • Study based on systematic observation and a literature review. • Detailed description of the methodology to collect and analyze the data.	EXPERIMENT • Elaboration of an original experiment with own question and hypothesis. • Most variables are identified and controlled. • Data well presented and analyzed. <u>INNOVATION</u> • Integrate several technologies or inventions or design and construct an innovative application with human and/or commercial benefit. <u>STUDY</u> • Study correlates information from a variety of peer- reviewed publications and reveals significant new information or solution to a problem • Detailed description
Mark Range	15	19	20 to 22	of the methodology to collect and analyze the data. 23 to 25
Project Creativity	 Little imagination. Simple project design: 	 Some creativity. Fair to good design: 	 Imaginative project. Good design: Above ordinary 	 Highly original project. Exemplary design:
(20%)	 Partial plan to validate hypothesis. Minimal student input. A textbook type project. 	 Sufficient plan to validate hypothesis. Standard use of common resources. Common topic. 	approach.Good use of resources.Creativity in design and topic.	 Original approach. Very creative use of equipment and/or construction.
Mark Range	6	7 to 11	12 to 16	17 to 20

Display (15%)	 Needs to be held upright. Hard to read and understand. Shows little effort. 	 Stays upright but flimsy. Understood if explained. Readable. Shows some effort. 	 Self-standing; proper dimensions. Easy to read and understand. Well done. Shows a lot of effort. 	 Self-standing and attractive; proper dimensions. Self explanatory. Flows logically. Very well done. Shows a great deal of effort.
Mark Range	4	5 to 8	9 to 12	13 to 15
Written Report (10%)	 No title page. Format incomplete. Weak presentation. Many spelling and/or grammar mistakes. 	 Adequate title page. Missing format elements. Adequate presentation. Some spelling and/or grammar mistakes. 	 Very good title page. Content complete. Very good presentation. Some spelling and or grammar mistakes. 	 Excellent title page. All elements are neat. Well presented. Accurate spelling and grammar.
Mark Range	4	5 to 8	9	10
Scientific Concepts (20%)	 No scientific concepts are explained or have been learned. 	• Some brief explanation revealing that something scientific was learned.	 Good explanation about the science that was learned. Concepts are related to the experiment. 	• Excellent explanation about what was discovered, which may be used to pursue new questions for a possible experiment.
Mark Range	5	10	15	20
Oral Presentation (10%)	 Poor presentation. Lack of knowledge. 	 Fair presentation. Little knowledge communicated. 	 Very good presentation. Adequate knowledge communicated. 	 Excellent presentation. Confident about knowledge communicated. Convincing and
				enthusiastic.

Total Marks /100

Judge's Comments:

Signed:_____

Date:_____
